UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	

DAVID F. TUSZYNSKI,

Petitioner,

ORDER

٧.

13-CV-401F

DAVID STALLONE, Superintendent, Cayuga Correctional Facility,

Respondent.

Petitioner has applied to the Court for appointment of counsel (Doc. No. 21).

Pursuant to Rule 8(c) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States

District Courts, counsel may be appointed at any stage of the proceeding "if the interests of justice so require."

It is clear that prisoners have no constitutional right to counsel when bringing collateral attacks upon their convictions. Pennsylvania v. Finley, 481 U.S. 551, 555, 107 S.Ct. 1990, 1993, 95 L.Ed.2d 539 (1987); Murray v. Giarratano, 492 U.S. 1, 109 S.Ct. 2765, 106 L.Ed.2d 1 (1989). Habeas petitioners who qualify under the Criminal Justice Act, however, are entitled to counsel if an evidentiary hearing is required, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, Rule 8(c), see Graham v. Portunodo, --- F.3d ----, 2007 WL 2850293 (2d Cir., Oct. 3, 2007), as are indigent petitioners who seek to vacate or set aside a sentence of death. 21 U.S.C. § 848(q)(1)(4)(B); McFarland v. Scott, 512 U.S. 849, 114 S.Ct. 2568, 2571, 129 L.Ed.2d 666 (1994).

Appointment of counsel is within the judge's discretion, see In re Martin-Trigona, 737 F.2d 1254, 1260 (2d Cir. 1984). The Court has reviewed the facts presented herein in light of the factors required by law. Specifically, there is no showing at this

Case 1:13-cv-00401-LGF Document 25 Filed 10/14/15 Page 2 of 2

time that an evidentiary hearing is required, nor is petitioner seeking to vacate or set

aside a sentence of death.

In addition, petitioner has not provided the Court with any information which

indicates that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at this time.

The petition is based on the following claims: illegal utilization of suppressed evidence,

prosecutorial misconduct, ineffective counsel and failure to dismiss the indictment. It

does not appear at this time that petitioner needs the assistance of counsel to present

these claims, which appear to be ones which can be addressed and reviewed solely by

means of the record already before the Court.

Based on this review, petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel is denied

without prejudice at this time. It is the petitioner's responsibility to retain an attorney or

press forward with this proceeding pro se. 28 U.S.C. § 1654.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Leslie G. Foschio

LESLIE G. FOSCHIO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: October 14, 2015 Buffalo, New York